

## New Jersey Judiciary Civil Practice Division

(To be completed by Counsel) There is room for comments on the next page. If your response to a specific question is "less than adequate" or "poor," please explain in the comments section. Additional sheets may be attached if necessary. ☐ Defendant Which side did you represent? 

Plaintiff County Name of Arbitrator More Than **Less Than** Not Please assess the arbitrator's: **Excellent** Adequate Adequate Adequate Poor Applicable Knowledge of relevant substantive law 2. Sufficient experience for deciding case 3. Adequacy of explanation of rulings 4. Adequacy of findings of facts Narrowing the issues in dispute 6. Moving the proceeding expeditiously Maintaining control of proceeding Allowing adequate time for presentation of the case П Common sense in resolving problems П П П 10. Ensuring that participants understand the proceeding 11. Attentiveness 12. Courtesy 13. Patience 14. Decisiveness 15. Fostering a general sense of fairness 16. Was the arbitrator bias and if so, how. 17. If applicable, please comment on aspects of the arbitrator's performance that need improvement. 18. If any litigants attended the arbitration and were at the courthouse at the time the award was rendered, was it

rendered in their presence?

Yes

19. If the arbitrator engaged in settlement negotiations, did he or she do so with the consent of all participants?  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable
20. At how many court-annexed arbitration hearings have you appeared in the past six months?
☐ One ☐ Two to three ☐ Four to five ☐ More than five
21. Name of case (optional)?
22. Does this case involve:
☐ Verbal Threshold Issues ☐ Title 59 Tort Claim Issues
23. Name of attorney completing the questionnaire (optional)?
PLEASE RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO:
The advance for a countries and a constant
Thank you for your time and comments.