

Table 2: Changes in numbers of species in the threatened categories (CR, EN, VU) from 1996 to 2008 (only for the major taxonomic groups on the Red List)

Group	CR								EN								VU							
	1996/98	2000	2002	2003	2004	2006	2007	2008	1996/98	2000	2002	2003	2004	2006	2007	2008	1996/98	2000	2002	2003	2004	2006	2007	2008
Mammals	169	180	181	184	162	162	163	188	315	340	339	337	352	348	349	448	612	610	617	609	587	583	582	505
Birds	168	182	182	182	179	181	189	190	235	321	326	331	345	351	356	361	704	680	684	681	688	674	672	671
Reptiles	41	56	55	57	64	73	79	86	59	74	79	78	79	101	139	134	153	161	159	158	161	167	204	203
Amphibians*	18	25	30	30	413	442	441	475	31	38	37	37	729	738	737	755	75	83	90	90	628	631	630	675
Fishes	157	156	157	162	171	253	254	289	134	144	143	144	160	237	254	269	443	452	442	444	470	681	693	717
Insects	44	45	46	46	47	68	69	70	116	118	118	118	120	129	129	132	377	392	393	389	392	426	425	424
Molluscs	257	222	222	250	265	265	268	268	212	237	236	243	221	222	224	224	451	479	481	474	488	488	486	486
Plants	909	1,014	1,046	1,276	1,490	1,541	1,569	1,575	1,197	1,266	1,291	1,634	2,239	2,258	2,278	2,280	3,222	3,331	3,377	3,864	4,592	4,591	4,600	4,602

* It should be noted that for certain species endemic to Brazil, it has not yet been possible to reach agreement on the Red List Categories between the Global Amphibian Assessment (GAA) Coordinating Team, and the experts on the species in Brazil. The 2004-2008 figures for Amphibians displayed here are those that were agreed at the GAA Brazil workshop in April 2003. However, in the subsequent consistency check conducted by the GAA Coordinating Team, many of the assessments were found to be inconsistent with the approach adopted elsewhere in the world, and a "consistent Red List Category" was also assigned to these species. The "consistent Red List Categories" are yet to be accepted by the Brazilian experts; therefore the original workshop assessments are retained here. However, in order to ensure comparability between results for amphibians with those for other taxonomic groups, the data used in various analyses (e.g., Bailliet *al.* 2004; the Global Amphibians web site) are based on the "consistent Red List Categories". Therefore, figures for Amphibians in the Table above will not completely match figures that appear in other analyses.