# SOUTH DELTA FISH FACILITIES ISSUES

# PREDATION IN CLIFTON COURT FOREBAY

## J. W. Buell, Ph.D. Central Valley Fish Facilities Review Team

April 2003



# **SOUTH DELTA FISH FACILITIES Perspective - Issues**

- Collect, Handle, Transport, Release (CHTR)
- Salvage
- Predation in Clifton Court Forebay
- South Delta Barriers
- Hydrodynamics
- Zone of Influence
- Population-Level Effects
- Other S.D. Matters (Tracy, Los Vaqueros, etc.)

# There's a Problem . . .





- CCF <u>salmon</u> predation losses
  - Eight studies during 1976 -1993
  - Median > 85%
  - Range 63 to 99+%
- CCF <u>striped bass</u> predation losses
  - Two studies
  - Range 74 to 90%
- After CCFB, predation loss
  - 10 to 90% at Skinner

# **Presentation Coverage**

- Description / Importance of losses
- Assumptions
- What we know / summaries of studies
- Potential study biases
- Additional complementary studies

# **Importance of Predation in CCFB**

- "Take" starts at the Radial Gates
- Current assumptions drive:
  - "Take" calculations
  - Operations
- Overshadows "facilities" losses
- Solution essential to SD fish protection

Banks Pumps

Skinner Fish Facility

Tracy Pumps

**Outlet Channel** 

Tracy Fish Facility

**Radial Gates** 

Outlet Channel

Clifton Court Forebay

**Trash Boom** 

Trash Rack

Louvers

Skinner Fish Salvage Facility (Holding Tanks Inside)

Secondary Screens/Louvers



# **Current Assumptions** (From 4-Pumps Negotiations)

- **Predation = 75% of juvenile fish entering** 
  - Based on juvenile salmon experiments
  - Mean of first three tests (with RG + TB releases )
  - *However*, mean of all tests > 85%
- No changes with temperature
  - *However*, temperature appears to be a factor
- No changes with pumping rate
  - *However*, losses vary inversely with pumping rate
- Predation is comparable for other species
  - *However*, data for striped bass and salmon <u>only</u>

### **SWP Losses - Chinook**

App. A, CDFG Operating Agreement, CCF Salvage Ops.

- Expand 10-minute count ( $C_{EXP}$ ) e.g. = 100
- Correct for louver efficiency (E<sub>L</sub>)
  - E<sub>L</sub> = 0.586 + 0.0579\*Vel.
  - For Vel. = 3.0 fps, E<sub>L</sub> = 0.742
  - Fish encountering screens:  $C_{EXP} / E_L = 135$
- Correct for Pre-Screen Losses (CCFB predation)
  - Ent. =  $C_{EXP}$  / (1- 0.75)  $E_L = 539$
- Correct for Handling, Trucking Loss (L<sub>H</sub>; L<sub>T</sub>)
  - Alive =  $C_{EXP} (1 L_H) (1 L_T) = 96$
- System Loss (L<sub>SYS</sub>)
  - $L_{SYS} = Ent. Alive = 441; System Survival = 17.8\%$

**CVP Losses - Chinook NMFS Biological Opinion (1993)** 

- Expand 10-minute count ( $C_{EXP}$ ) e.g. = 100
- Correct for louver efficiency (E<sub>L</sub>)
  - E<sub>L</sub> = 0.586 + 0.0579\*Vel.
  - For Vel. = 3.0 fps, E<sub>L</sub> = 0.742
  - Fish encountering screens:  $C_{EXP} / E_L = 135$
- Correct for <u>Assumed</u> Pre-Screen Losses

• Ent. =  $C_{EXP}$  / (1- 0.15)  $E_L$  = <u>142</u>

- Correct for Handling, Trucking Loss (L<sub>H</sub>; L<sub>T</sub>)
  - Alive =  $C_{EXP} (1 L_H) (1 L_T) = 96$
- System Loss (L<sub>SYS</sub>)
  - $L_{SYS} = Ent. Alive = 43; System Survival = 67.6\%$

# **What We Know... Current Information Base**

- Ten studies (1976 1993); <u>See Gingras 1997</u>
- Various conditions
  - Pumping rates
  - Seasons
  - Temperatures
  - Release points

### Additional complimentary studies

- Predator population, census
- Predator ingress egress
- Predator tracking
- Creel census
- Predator removal efforts

# What We Know (cont.)

## • CCFB pre-Skinner <u>salmon</u> losses (8 studies)

- All but one used RG + TB releases, multiple releases / times
- Range = 63 99+%; average > 85%
- Proportional to residence time (fish and water)
- Generally, about 2 days to cross CCFB
- Smaller fish lost selectively over time
- Higher for day releases (RG and TB releases)
- Overall loss coefficient of variation, 8 studies = 15%

### • CCFB pre-Skinner <u>striped bass</u> losses (2 studies)

- RG + TB releases, multiple releases / times
- Range = 70 94%
- Apparently related to residence time
- Much higher for day releases (controls)

Study, Analysis, Report Review by Fish Facilities Consulting Board Peripheral Canal Effort

- Dr. Loren Jensen (Johns Hopkins Univ.)
- Dr. James Harder (U.C. Berkeley)
- Dr. Ernie Salo (Univ. of Washington)
- Mr. Milo Bell (Univ. of Washington)
- Mr. Chuck Wagner (NMFS; Chief, Fish. Eng.)
- Mr. Don Kelly (CDFG, Ret.)

# **Study Results (chinook)...**

- Mid-October 1976 (fall chinook)
  - Releases @ radial gates; <u>no</u> TB releases; off peak pumping
  - <u>97%</u> "unaccounted loss"
  - Selective loss of smaller fish
- Late October 1978 (late fall chinook)
  - Releases @ radial gates + outlet channel; trash boom
  - <u>86%</u> CCFB loss (to trash boom)
  - <u>49%</u> Outlet channel loss (to trash boom)
  - Selective loss of smaller fish over time (r<sup>2</sup>=0.86-0.93)
- Late April 1984 (fall chinook)
  - Releases @ radial gates; trash boom
  - <u>63%</u> CCFB loss (to trash boom)
  - <u>75%</u> Radial gate to salvage loss

RADIAL GATES	- Model for Fish Loss; Fall, 1978 Data					
<b>100 FISH</b>	OUTLET CHANNEL					
><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	<b>33 FISH</b>	<u>TRASH</u> <u>BOOM</u>	LOUVERS	HOLDING		
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	><>><>	14 FISH	12 FISH	TANKS 8 FISH		
><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	><>><>	><>			
$\frac{1}{2}$	><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>		
><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	><>><>	><>			

><>><>

><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><>

><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><>

><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><>

# Late fall chinook 60 - 150 mm FL

RADIAL	Model for Fis	h Loss Snri	nσ 1984 Data
<u>GATES</u>	(Fall c		nook: 60 - 100 mm FL)
<b>100 FISH</b>	TRASH	(	
><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><>><>><>><>><>><>><	BOOM	<u>LOUVERS</u>	HOLDING TANKS
><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	37 FISH	<b>33 FISH</b>	24 FISH
><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	><> ><> ><> ><>	><> ><>	
><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><>><>><>><>><>><>><	><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><>	><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><>
><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><>><>><>><>><>><>><	><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><>><> ><>><>	><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><> ><>><>><
><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>
><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>		><>	
><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>><>	MIRROR	S PRESENT A	SSUMPTIONS
		NEAR-BESI	CASE

# **Study Results (chinook)...**

- Early April 1985 (fall chinook)
  - Releases @ radial gates; day + night @ trash boom
  - <u>75%</u> CCFB losses (to trash boom)
  - <u>46 52%</u> Trash boom losses (to louvers)
  - Survival proportional to pumping rate
- Early May 1992 (fall chinook)
  - Releases @ radial gates; night @ trash boom
  - Pumping: <u>6400 ≤ 375 ≤ 0</u> cfs 13 hrs after release
  - <u>99%</u> CCFB loss (to trash boom); <u>71%</u> TB to louver loss
- Early April 1993 (fall chinook)
  - Releases @ radial gates; day + night @ trash boom
  - <u>95%</u> CCFB losses (to trash boom)
  - <u>75%</u> Trash boom to louver loss (higher night survival)

# **Study Results (chinook)**

### • Mid-December 1992 (late fall chinook)

- Releases @ radial gates; night @ trash boom
- <u>78%</u> CCFB losses (to trash boom)
- Selective loss of smaller fish

### • Late November 1993 (late fall chinook)

- Releases @ radial gates: afternoon + acclimated + night
- Releases @ trash boom: morning + afternoon + night
- <u>99.8%</u> CCFB loss (to trash boom) for <u>day</u> release
- <u>98.6%</u> CCFB loss (to trash boom) for <u>night</u> release
- <u>68%</u> Trash boom to louver loss for <u>morning</u> release
- <u>69%</u> Trash boom to louver loss for <u>afternoon</u> release
- <u>53%</u> Trash boom to louver loss for <u>night</u> release

# **Study Results (striped bass)**

### • Mid-July 1984 (striped bass)

- Releases @ radial gates; day + night @ trash boom
- <u>94%</u> CCFB loss (to trash boom)
- <u>64%</u> Trash boom to louver loss

### • Early August 1986 (striped bass)

- Releases @ radial gates; day + night @ trash boom
- <u>70%</u> CCFB losses (to trash boom)
- <u>60 90%</u> Trash boom to louver loss, night v. day

#### **SUMMARY-- CCFB PREDATION LOSSES**

Mo-Year	Fish	<b>RG =&gt; TB</b>
<b>Oct-76</b>	Fall ch.	<u>90%</u> ±
Oct-78 *	Late fall ch.	<u>86%</u>
<b>Apr-84 *</b>	Fall ch.	<u>63%</u>
<b>Apr-85 *</b>	Fall ch.	<u>75%</u>
<b>May-92</b>	Fall ch.	<u>99%</u>
<b>Dec-92</b>	Late fall ch.	<u>78%</u>
Apr-93	Fall ch.	<u>95%</u>
Nov-93 **	Late fall ch.	<u>99+%</u>
Jul-84	<b>Striped bass</b>	<u>94%</u>
Aug-86	Strriped bass	<u>70%</u>

#### **SUMMARY-- SWP PREDATION LOSSES**

#### <u>YEAR Fish RG => TB TB => Lv RG => Lv</u>

<b>Oct-76</b>	Fall ch.			<u>97%</u>
<b>Oct-78</b>	L. fall ch.	86%	15%	<u>88%</u>
Apr-84	Fall ch.	63%	9.8%	<u>76%</u>
Apr-85	Fall ch.	75%	<b>48%</b>	<u>87%</u>
May-92	Fall ch.	99%	71%	<u>99+%</u>
Dec-92	L. fall ch.	78%	25%	<u>84%</u>
Apr-93	Fall ch.	95%	75%	<u>99%</u>
Nov-93	L. fall ch.	<b>99</b> +%	<b>69%</b>	<u>99+%</u>
Jul-84	Str. bass	94%	64%	<u>98%</u>
Aug-86	Str.bass	70%	60-90%	<u>78%</u>

# **CCFB Losses v. Export Rate**

# Gingras 1997:

- Multiple regression:
  - Temperature
  - Released fish size
  - Export rate
- NS; **P** = 0.491
- Omit winter 1993: P = 0.04; expl. 91% of s<sup>2</sup>
  - Different release methods
  - Several small release groups at Radial Gates
- Strongest variable = Export Rate
  - Multiple r<sup>2</sup> = 0.75... "Not surprising"
  - Related to prey residence time in CCFB (4 citations)

#### **CCFB Pre-Screen Losses -- Salmon**

#### Percent Loss v. Export Rate



#### CCFB Pre-Screen Losses -- Salmon Percent Loss v. Export Rate



## **POTENTIAL SIGNIFICANT BIASES**

### **Tending to <u>under</u> estimate predation:**

- Assumed louver efficiencies (low)
- Density-dependent predator avoidance

**Tending to <u>over</u> estimate predation:** 

- Disorientation at release
- Density-dependent louver efficiency
- Poor swimming of test fish
- Poor test fish predator avoidance

Other

- Mark shedding (<5%)
- Emigration from CCFB (very unlikely)
- Residence in CCFB (data suggest otherwise)

### **ADDITIONAL COMPLEMENTARY STUDIES**

- Fish surveys, population estimates
  Orsi 1967; Kano 1990; Morinaka c.1997
- Tagging, hydro-acoustic, tracking studies
  - Hall 1980; Reavis 1982; Bolster 1986; Collins *et al.* 1988; Gingras & McGee 1997
- CCFB Sport fishing study
  - Mecum 1980
- Predator removal efforts (at Skinner)
  - McEwan 1987a,b; 1988; Knoernschild 1991; Barrow 1991a-d; 1992
- Direct observations (in CDFG documents)
  - Raquel; McEwan; Collins; Odenweller



### •Skinner photos

•Skinner diagram

•Individual study results







**Diagram of the John E. Skinner Delta Fish Protective Facility.** 





171-1

Secondary Louvers Mid-Oct 1976 - Juvenile fall chinook (Schaffter 1978)

- Radial gate <u>night</u> release: <u>6,825</u> (70-160 mm FL)
- No trash boom releases
- Assumed 67% louver efficiency (Heubach et al. 1973)
- ONLY off-peak pumping (night)
- $\textcircled{}^{\circ}$  "Unaccounted" losses = <u>97%</u>
- **397% of all recoveries in first 36 hrs**
- **Water vel.** @ gates est. at 10 fps + turbulence
- Selective loss of smaller fish
- ③Gillnet/beach seine efforts (limited):
  ③Striped bass @ CCFB inlet and outlet

Late Oct. 1978 - Juvenile late fall chinook (Hall 1980)

- Radial gate <u>night</u> release: <u>6,825</u> (60 150 mm FL)
- Outlet channel <u>night</u> release: <u>5,252</u>
- Trash boom <u>night</u> release: <u>1,907</u>
- Assumed 81% louver efficiency (Heubach et al. 1973)
- Only off-peak pumping (night)
  Radial gate release to louver loss = <u>88%</u>
  Outlet channel release to louver loss = <u>64%</u>
- **Trash boom release to louver loss =** 15%
- **369% of all recoveries within 2 days**
- **Selective loss of smaller fish v. time (r<sup>2</sup>=0.86-0.93)**

Late April 1984 - Juvenile fall chinook (Kano 1985a)

- Rad. gate <u>eve.</u> (1830) release = <u>13,493</u> (FL  $\approx$  75 mm)
- Trash boom <u>evening</u> (1930) release = <u>2,900</u>
- Trash boom <u>night</u> (2200) release = 2,953
- Assumed 74% louver efficiency (Heubach et al. 1973)
- $\swarrow$  Trash boom release to louver losses = <u>9.8%</u>
- **∠** Radial gate release to louver losses = <u>66.2%</u>

- Difference attributed to spring v. fall
- Difference attributed to lower predator population

Mid-July 1984 - Juvenile striped bass (Kano 1985a)

- Rad. gate <u>day</u> (1020) release : <u>13,710</u> (FL ≈ 52 mm)
- Trash boom morning (1015) release: <u>4,126</u>
- Trash boom <u>night</u> (2130) release: <u>1,967</u>
- Assumed 76% louver efficiency (Heubach et al. 1973)
- Off-peak Q = 2x on-peak Q

**Radial gate release to trash boom loss = <u>94%</u>
<b>Correcting for lower losses** 

Trash boom to louver loss (combined) = <u>64%</u>
Correcting for louver losses

Early April 1985 - Juvenile fall chinook (Kano 1985b)

- Rad. gate eve. (1830) release: <u>11,606</u> (50-100 mm FL)
- Trash boom <u>night</u> (2345) release: <u>4,066</u>
- Trash boom <u>afternoon</u> (1700) release: <u>1849</u>
- Assumed 69% louver efficiency (Heubach et al. 1973)
- ONLY off-peak (night) pumping
- $\swarrow$  Radial gate release to trash boom loss = <u>75%</u>
- $\swarrow$  Trash boom release to louver losses = <u>46 52%</u>
- Striped bass CPUE 265% of previous year
- Survival proportional to export rate (weak)

Early August 1986 - Juvenile striped bass (Kano 1986)

- Rad. gate <u>day</u> (1040) release: <u>18,486</u> (40-70 mm FL)
- Trash boom <u>day</u> (1100) release: <u>3,369</u>
- Trash boom <u>night</u> (2145) release: <u>5,574</u>
- Assumed 76% louver efficiency (Heubach *et al.* 1973)
- $\swarrow$  Radial gate release to trash boom loss = <u>70%</u>
- $\swarrow$  Day trash boom to louver losses = <u>90%</u>
- ✓Most radial gate release recoveries within 24 hrs.

Early May 1992 - Juvenile fall chinook (Bull 1992)

- Rad. gate <u>night</u> (2030) release: <u>21,894</u> (30-50 mm FL)
- Trash boom <u>night</u> (2130) release: <u>3,199</u>
- Assumed 69% louver efficiency (Heubach et al. 1973)
- Pumping <u>6400 ≤ 375 ≤ 0</u> cfs 13 hrs after release
  Radial gate release to trash boom loss = <u>99%</u>
  Trash boom release to louver losses = <u>71%</u>
  Peak radial gate release recoveries @ 1 day
  High losses attributed to pumping curtailment

Mid-Dec. 1992 - Juv. late fall chinook (Tillman 1993a)

- Radial gate <u>night</u> release: <u>10,729</u>
- Trash boom <u>night</u> release: <u>1,782</u>
- Assumed louver efficiency = 75% (Heubach *et al.* 1973)
- Delayed mortalities assessed
- $\swarrow$  Trash boom release to louver losses = 25%

Mean length of recoveries increased over time

- Attributed to selective predation on smaller fish
- Similar results noted in previous studies

Most radial gate release recoveries within 26 hrs.

Early Apr. 1993 - Juvenile fall chinook (Tillman 1993b)

- Radial gate <u>night</u> (2115) release: <u>10,332</u>
- Trash boom <u>day</u> (1045) release: <u>1,309</u>
- Trash boom <u>night</u> (2335) release: <u>1,209</u>
- Pumps @ 3,390 cfs

- Night trash boom survival 1.5 x day survival

Late Nov. 1993 - Juv. late fall chinook (Bull 1994)

- Radial gate <u>afternoon</u> (1515) release: <u>4,246</u>
- Radial gate acclimated (1530) release: 1,509
- Radial gate <u>night</u> (2350) release: <u>4,260</u>
- Trash boom morning (1000) release: <u>469</u>
- Trash boom <u>afternoon</u> (1434) release: <u>1849</u>
- Trash boom <u>night</u> (2045) release: <u>233</u>
  Radial gate <u>day</u> release to TB loss = <u>99.8%</u>
  Radial gate <u>night</u> release to TB loss = <u>98.6%</u>
  TB <u>morning</u> release to louver losses = <u>68%</u>
  TB <u>afternoon</u> release to louver losses = <u>69%</u>
  TB <u>night</u> release to louver losses = <u>53%</u>